

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/720,573	-	11/24/2003	Thomas McKevitt	9249-55U1	5712
570	7590	06/13/2005		EXAMINER	
AKIN GUI		AUSS HAUER & F	GRAHAM, MARK S		
		EET, SUITE 2200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADEL	PHIA, PA	A 19103	3711		
				DATE MAIL ED: 04/12/2004	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 10/720,573 McKEVITT ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Mark S. Graham 3711 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the map be available under the proxima of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed effect or preply specified above, the maximum statistary prieds will apply and wi			Λ	
Period for Reply As HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE Mark S. Graham And Unit Mark S. Graham As HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edenations of them may be available under the proteins of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In ac event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. He had to the may be available under the proteins of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In ac event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. He had to the reply is pacified above, the maximum statutory period will shely the difference SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failurs to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be statutory minimum of thiny (30) depy will be considered timely. He had to reply interest the statutory of the statutory minimum of thiny (30) depy will be considered timely. He had to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply scored by the Office labore than these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed placent term edipartment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 May 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is final. 2b) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.2.4-7 and 9-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above daim(s) is/are is/are pending in the application. 4b) □ Claim(s) 1.2.4-7 and 9-14 is/are rejected. The protein of the above daim(s) is/are is/are rejected. The protein of the date of the second of the protein of the date of the communication is/are is/are is/are is/are is/are is		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Mark S. Graham 3711 - The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In so event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than this (70) days, a reply within the statistory minimum of thirty (20) days, will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (70) days, a reply within the statistory minimum of thirty (20) days, will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified days the last set than three members of the provision of the period for reply vell, by ristatic, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office laster than three members after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 May 2005. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1,2,4-7 and 9-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1,2,4-7 and 9-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1,2,4-7 and 9-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1,2,4-7 and 9-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ The operation is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/a		10/720,573	MCKEVITT ET AL.	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply self-did above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days and thirty (30) days are possible to the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Failure to reply whithin the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Failure to reply whithin the statutor period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Failure to reply whithin the statutor period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any carried particle. The provided is the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any carried particles. The provided will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any carried particles. The provided will apply and will expire six (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any carried particles. 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on O2 May 2005. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is non-final. 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ■ Claim(s) 1.2.4-7 and 9-14 is/are pending in the application. 4) ■ Claim(s) 1.2.4-7 and 9-14 is/are pending in the application. 4) ■ Claim(s) 1.2.4-7 and 9-14 is/are pending in the application. 4) ■ Claim(s) 1.2	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In an event, however, may a reply be timely filed after St (S) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the set has thirty (30) days, and the state to the state of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the state of the state of the state of the period for reply within the state or standade period for reply within the state of this communication. If the period for reply within the state or standade period for reply within the state or standade period for reply within the state. Plants or to reply within the state or standade period for reply within the state or standade period for reply within the state. Plants or the replants of the state of this communication, and the state of the state o				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extansions of time may be wellable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Pallure to reply within the statutory provided will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Pallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply vibil palpoid above is less than thinky (30) days, a reply within the set of the communication. Pallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply vibil palpoid and pale of the communication. Pallure to reply within the set of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any cannot pale that making the pale of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any cannot pale that making the pale of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any cannot pale that the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any cannot pale that the pale of the pale of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any cannot pale that the pale of th		appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 May 2005. 2a) ↑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ↑ This action is non-final. 3) ↑ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ↑ Claim(s) 1.2.4-7 and 9-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ↑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ♠ Claim(s) 1.2.4-7 and 9-14 is/are rejected. 7) ↑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ↑ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ↑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ↑ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ↑ accepted or b) ↑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ↑ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ↑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ↑ All b) ↑ Some * c) ↑ None of: 1. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ○ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the materials.	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of th eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-7 and 9-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.4-7 and 9-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to post to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Status			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-7 and 9-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.4-7 and 9-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	02 May 2005.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-7 and 9-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1.2.4-7 and 9-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1.2.4-7 and 9-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		-		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-7 and 9-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-7 and 9-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· <u>-</u>		tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-7 and 9-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.4-7 and 9-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-7 and 9-14 is/are pending in	n the application.		
6) Claim(s) 1.2.4-7 and 9-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		• •		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7 and 9-14</u> is/are rejected.			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction at	nd/or election requirement.	·	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application Papers	,		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) □ objected to	by the Examiner.	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		•	-,, ,	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	<u> </u>			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	n received in this National Stage	
5ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the centried copies not received.	• •	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	A manativa d	
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a	ilist of the certified copies no	t received.	
	Attachment(s)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other: ___

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 11, "the head portion" lacks proper antecedent basis.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adorjan '749 (Adorjan). Elements 12 may be considered the plugs. Adorjan's elements 12 have different lengths as can clearly be seen in the drawings. Regarding the total length of the plugs and weights, Adorjan's weights and plugs match the total length of the internal cavity as again can be clearly seen in Fig. 2. With regard to applicant's amendments, the threaded part of Adorjan's end closing element is considered the plug with the portion of such element extending beyond the terminal end being considered the extraction member.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore. Moore discloses the claimed device with the exception of the sleeve. However, the examiner takes official notice that it is commonly known to encase springs in sleeves if desired as a protective

measure. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have done the same with Moore's spring for the same reason.

Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adorjan '489 in view of Lanctot. Adorjan '489 discloses the claimed device and method with the exception of the type of fastening element used. Adorjan uses threads but as disclosed by Lanctot plug type fastener's for cylindrical sporting elements may use a variety of fastening elements, (Col. 5, lines 43-52). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used such as Adorjan's fastening elements as well if it was desired to make it easier to remove the plug element of Adorjan.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adorjan '749. Adorjan discloses the claimed method with the exception of specifically stating that a different number of weight rods are inserted after removing first weight rods. However, as Adorjan states in lines 10-14, an object of the invention is the regulation of the weight of the cue as well as its balance. Obviously to change the weight of the cue one must change the weight elements. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that to have added additional weight to the cue it would have made sense to use more weight elements thus increasing the weight of the cue. Therefore, the use of a different number of weight elements would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan. With regard to applicant's amendments, the threaded part of Adorjan's end closing element is considered the first plug with the portion of such element extending beyond the terminal end being considered the extraction member.

Claims 2, 4-7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laub in view of Morse. Laub discloses the claimed device/method with the exception of using a

Art Unit: 3711

plurality of removable weights and the end cap plug. However, as disclosed by Morse it is known in the art to use a plurality of removable weights to adjust the cue to the particular user and to use an end cap plug completely received in a second cavity of larger diameter including its head portion. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have done the same with Laub's cue as well to allow for greater cue adjustability and to seal off the weight containing cavity.

Concerning claims 4-7, absent a showing of unexpected results the exact dimensions and weights of the Laub/Morse weights would obviously have been up to the ordinarily skilled artisan depending on the degree of weight and balance one wished to provide for the stick.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 4-7, and 9-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark S. Graham at telephone number 571-272-4410.

MSG 6/8/05

Mark S. Graham